



# Toronto Police Service

40 College Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. M5G 2J3  
(416) 808-2222 FAX (416) 808-8202  
Website: www.TorontoPolice.on.ca



Mark Saunders  
Chief of Police

File Number: 57761

September 24, 2019

Mr. Gana Kiritharan  
9L-6 Rosebank Drive  
Toronto, ON M1B 0A1

Dear: Mr. Kiritharan,

Re: Vulnerable Sector Fingerprint Requirement

This is the Letter Gana Kiritharan received from Toronto Police Service regarding similarity between him and a sex offender in Canada. When looked superficially it appears just a verifying process. But Gana Kiritharan came to the conclusion that he is a victim of "Fake Criminal Conviction" for reasons explained in following pages.

We are in receipt of your Vulnerable Sector Screening application. Before we can continue processing your background screening, the RCMP requires the submission of your fingerprints due to the match of your gender and date of birth to their pardoned sex offender registry.

You must attend Toronto Police Service Headquarters – 40 College Street, to complete this requirement. Private fingerprinting agencies are not authorized to perform this service.

**How to schedule a Vulnerable Sector Fingerprint appointment:**

- Contact the Fingerprint Coordinator at (416) 808-8224 and leave a voice message, or
- Email [referencecheckprogram@torontopolice.on.ca](mailto:referencecheckprogram@torontopolice.on.ca) and advise your availability.
- Monday to Thursday 7am to 2:20pm, Friday 7am to 11:40am. Appointments are every 20 minutes

This service is by appointment only. Please ensure your appointment is scheduled within 6 months from the date of this letter.

**Vulnerable Sector Fingerprint Fee:**

- The fee for this service is \$25.00 mandated by the RCMP.
- Methods of payment include: Credit/Debit card, Money Order or Certified Cheque made payable to the Toronto Police Service.
- Cash will not be accepted.
- Fee exemption applies to volunteer applications only. Bring correspondence from your organization indicating you are being placed in a bona-fide volunteer position. The correspondence must be signed and on the organization's letterhead.

**Please bring the following to your appointment:**

- 1 piece of Government issued photo identification with your name and date of birth (example: Driver's Licence, Passport, Citizenship document).
- Your form of payment in the amount of \$25.00

We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours truly,

*vm*  
for: Rita Vigna, A/Manager  
Records Management Services  
RV/vm

Gana Kiritharan returned to Canada on end of May 2006. After finding a new place to live, he went and changed his address of Health Card and Drivers License around early June 2006 at Public Service Machine at Scarborough Town Center. He received his new health card on time but failed to receive his Drivers License. After one month he went to the Testing center at Sheppard and Morning side and inquired about it. The person assisted informed Gana Kiritharan the new card should be on the way. But Gana Kiritharan failed to receive anything. After another month on 4<sup>th</sup> August 2006, Gana Kiritharan visited the Ministry of Transportation at Sheppard and Mac Cowan and inquired about it. The person after checking, Informed Gana Kiritharan that he failed to change the mailing address that is the reason for the failure to receive the Drivers License. Then the person assisted issued a temporary drivers license but requested and received the receipt for the address change in June. The receipt had a blank space for the mailing address.

Gana Kiritharan's ID went missing for few months after a   
routing address change in 2006. The stolen or misplaced   
ID may have been used to create a fake criminal conviction.

**Trade:**

This section lists all the accounts that have been reported by various institutions with whom you have established a credit relationship. Using the first item below as the example, it is your account with **TORONTO DOMINION BANK VISA** last reported **Jul 2006**. It was opened **Sep 2000** and shows a last sale date of **Oct 2005**. The high credit is **\$0** with a current balance of **\$2329**, payment terms of **\$70 MONTHLY** and past due amount **\$459**. In the last **71** months the payment history shows **0** payments **30 days late**, **1** payment **60 days late**, **12** payments **90 days late**. It is **R9** indicating that it is a **REVOLVING** account that was **BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION**. Values are in the range of 0 through 9 and their meaning is indicated. There is a comment of **AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT** posted to the account.

Reported	Opened	Last Activity	High Credit	Balance	Past Due Amount	Terms	Account Type/Current Payment	Payment History			
								30	60	90	#M
<b>TORONTO DOMINION BANK VISA</b>											
Jul 01, 2006	Sep 2000	Oct 2005	0	2329	459	70/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	0	1	12	71
<b>Comments: AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT</b>											
<b>TORONTO DOMINION BANK</b>											
Oct 01, 2005	May 2002	Oct 2005	11000	0	0	346/M					
<b>Comments: CLOSED CONSUMER'S REQUEST, LINE OF CREDIT</b>											
<b>ROYAL BANK OF CANADA VISA</b>											
Jul 31, 2006	May 2002	Oct 2004	1500	1493	1493	0/M					
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, ACCT CANCELLED BY CR GRANTOR</b>											
<b>PRESIDENT'S CHOICE MASTERCARD</b>											
Jul 31, 2006	Jun 2002	Oct 2004	1500	1655	1655	0/M					
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT</b>											
<b>HSBC M/C</b>											
May 03, 2006	Apr 2001	Jun 2002	1987	0	0	0/M					
<b>Comments:</b>											
<b>HSBC M/C</b>											
Dec 02, 2005	May 2002	Jan 2005	3872	3687	0	966/M					
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, SKIP ACCOUNT</b>											
<b>HSBC BANK - M/C</b>											
Sep 03, 2005	May 2002	Aug 2005	0	0	0	0/M	R1 REVOLVING PAID AS AGREED	1	1	3	42
<b>Comments: ACCOUNT TRANSFERRED, AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT</b>											
<b>HSBC BANK - M/C</b>											
Jun 2003	Apr 2001	Jun 2002	100	0	0	0/M	R1 REVOLVING PAID AS AGREED	0	0	0	26
<b>Comments: CLOSED CONSUMER'S REQUEST</b>											
<b>CIBC CREDIT CARDS</b>											
Jun 20, 2005	Mar 2003	Nov 2004	8500	9029	2094	270/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	1	1	5	28
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, ACCT CANCELLED BY CR GRANTOR</b>											
<b>CIBC CREDIT CARDS</b>											
Jun 09, 2003	Aug 2002	Mar 2003	4950	0	0	0/M	R1 REVOLVING PAID AS AGREED	0	0	0	11
<b>Comments: CLOSED CONSUMER'S REQUEST</b>											
<b>CIBC CREDIT CARDS</b>											
May 20, 2003	Sep 2000	Mar 2003	100	0	0	0/M	R1 REVOLVING PAID AS AGREED	0	0	0	33
<b>Comments: CLOSED CONSUMER'S REQUEST</b>											
<b>CIBC CREDIT CARDS</b>											
Jan 2003	Aug 2002	Dec 2002	5000	0	0	0/M	R1 REVOLVING PAID AS AGREED	0	0	0	6
<b>Comments: ACCOUNT TRANSFERRED</b>											
<b>CAPITAL ONE BANK</b>											
Jul 02, 2006	Feb 2003		1508	1933	1933	0/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	1	1	18	28
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, ACCT CANCELLED BY CR GRANTOR</b>											
<b>BNS REVOLVING CREDIT</b>											
Jun 30, 2006	May 2002	May 2005	2527	2527	2527	2527/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	1	1	17	50
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT</b>											
<b>BANK OF MONTREAL M/C</b>											
Jul 21, 2006	May 2002	May 2005	0	1936	1936	58/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	1	1	19	52
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT</b>											
<b>AMERICAN EXPRESS CANADA</b>											
Jul 16, 2006	Jan 2001	Aug 2004	5713	5713	1121	0/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	0	0	5	63
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, ACCT CANCELLED BY CR GRANTOR</b>											

Motive of crime may be misappropriation of money. If you look at the credit report here, all the accounts are either paid and closed on consumer request or written off when consumer default the loan. But the first loan listed "TORONTO DOMINION BANK VISA" BAD DEBT PLACED FOR COLLECTION, but not Written Off. The reason may be an unknown amount of money in the custody of bank continued to give protection for the VISA loan so it can not be written off.

**Trade:**

This section lists all the accounts that have been reported by various institutions with whom you have established a credit relationship. Using the first item below as the example, it is your account with **TORONTO DOMINION BANK VISA** last reported **Mar 2007**. It was opened **Sep 2000** and shows a last sale date of **May 2005**. The high credit is **\$0** with a current balance of **\$2329**, payment terms of **\$70 MONTHLY** and **No** past due amount. In the last **79** months the payment history shows **0** payments **30 days late**, **1** payment **60 days late**, **20** payments **90 days late**. It is **R9** indicating that it is a **REVOLVING** account that was **BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION**. Values are in the range of 0 through 9 and their meaning is indicated. There are comments of **BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, ACCT CANCELLED BY CR GRANTOR** posted to the account.

Reported	Opened	Last Activity	High Credit	Balance	Past Due Amount	Terms	Account Type/Current Payment	Payment History			
								30	60	90	#M
<b>TORONTO DOMINION BANK VISA</b>											
Mar 28, 2007	Sep 2000	May 2005	0	2329	0	70/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	0	1	20	79
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, ACCT CANCELLED BY CR GRANTOR</b>											
<b>TORONTO DOMINION BANK</b>											
Oct 01, 2005	May 2002	Oct 2005	11000	0	0	346/M					
<b>Comments: CLOSED CONSUMER'S REQUEST, LINE OF CREDIT</b>											
<b>ROYAL BANK OF CANADA VISA</b>											
Mar 29, 2007	May 2002	Oct 2004	1500	1493	1493	0/M					
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, ACCT CANCELLED BY CR GRANTOR</b>											
<b>ROYAL BANK OF CANADA VISA</b>											
Feb 08, 2005	May 2002	Oct 2004	1500	1545	136	47/M	R3 REVOLVING 60 DAYS LATE				
<b>Comments: AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT</b>											
<b>PRESIDENT'S CHOICE MASTERCARD</b>											
Mar 30, 2007	Jun 2002	Oct 2004	1500	1655	1655	0/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	1	1	25	81
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT</b>											
<b>HSBC M/C</b>											
Jan 03, 2007	May 2002	Jan 2005	3872	3687	855	966/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	0	0	3	14
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF</b>											
<b>HSBC M/C</b>											
Sep 01, 2006	Apr 2001	Jun 2002	1987	0	0	0/M	R1 REVOLVING PAID AS AGREED	0	0	0	28
<b>Comments:</b>											
<b>HSBC BANK - M/C</b>											
Sep 03, 2005	May 2002	Aug 2005	0	0	0	0/M	R1 REVOLVING PAID AS AGREED	1	1	3	42
<b>Comments: ACCOUNT TRANSFERRED, AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT</b>											
<b>HSBC BANK - M/C</b>											
Jun 2003	Apr 2001	Jun 2002	100	0	0	0/M	R1 REVOLVING PAID AS AGREED	0	0	0	26
<b>Comments: CLOSED CONSUMER'S REQUEST</b>											
<b>CIBC CREDIT CARDS</b>											
Jun 20, 2005	Mar 2003	Nov 2004	8500	9029	2094	270/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	1	1	5	28
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, ACCT CANCELLED BY CR GRANTOR</b>											
<b>CIBC CREDIT CARDS</b>											
Feb 18, 2005	Mar 2003	Nov 2004	8500	8823	1023	264/M	R4 REVOLVING 90 DAYS LATE	1	1	1	24
<b>Comments: ACCT CANCELLED BY CR GRANTOR, AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT</b>											
<b>CIBC CREDIT CARDS</b>											
Jun 09, 2003	Aug 2002	Mar 2003	4950	0	0	0/M	R1 REVOLVING PAID AS AGREED	0	0	0	11
<b>Comments: CLOSED CONSUMER'S REQUEST</b>											
<b>CIBC CREDIT CARDS</b>											
May 20, 2003	Sep 2000	Mar 2003	100	0	0	0/M	R1 REVOLVING PAID AS AGREED	0	0	0	33
<b>Comments: CLOSED CONSUMER'S REQUEST</b>											
<b>CIBC CREDIT CARDS</b>											
Jan 2003	Aug 2002	Dec 2002	5000	0	0	0/M	R1 REVOLVING PAID AS AGREED	0	0	0	6
<b>Comments: ACCOUNT TRANSFERRED</b>											
<b>CAPITAL ONE BANK</b>											
Mar 04, 2007	Feb 2003		1508	2196	2196	0/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	1	1	26	36
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, ACCT CANCELLED BY CR GRANTOR</b>											
<b>CAPITAL ONE BANK</b>											
Feb 08, 2005	Feb 2003	Oct 2004	1000	1273	125	38/M	R4 REVOLVING 90 DAYS LATE	1	1	1	26
<b>Comments: AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT</b>											
<b>BNS REVOLVING CREDIT</b>											
Feb 28, 2007	May 2002	May 2005	2527	2527	2527	2527/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	1	1	25	58
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, ACCT CLOSED</b>											
<b>BANK OF MONTREAL M/C</b>											
Mar 23, 2007	May 2002	May 2005	0	2124	2124	63/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	1	1	27	60
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, AMT IN H/C COLUMN IS CREDIT LIMIT</b>											
<b>AMERICAN EXPRESS CANADA</b>											
Jul 16, 2006	Jan 2001	Aug 2004	5713	5713	1121	0/M	R9 REVOLVING BAD DEBT, PLACED FOR COLLECTION	0	0	5	63
<b>Comments: BAD DEBT WRITE OFF, ACCT CANCELLED BY CR GRANTOR</b>											

Now "TORONTO DOMINION BANK VISA" also Written off. The reason may be with the help of Fake Criminal conviction the unknown amount of money in the custody of bank disposed as part of fake criminal conviction.

DATE: 19<sup>th</sup> February 2010.

Gana Kiritharan,  
307 – 10 Stonehill Court,  
Scarborough, Ontario  
Tel: 416-820-8581

TO: Canadian Judicial Council,  
Ontario Judicial Council,

Dear Sir / Madam,

Re: Claim of Serious Ethical Failures in Kiritharan vs TD Canada Trust (07 – CV – 341987PD 2)

I Gana Kiritharan one party in above mentioned Civil Case, filed in Toronto Court house of Ontario Civil Court (also victim in Toronto Police Services Fraud Complains # 2529262). I would like to bring your kind attention of incidents happened during my Civil Case (also experience incurred during criminal complaint) which I claim as confusion in our law and serious ethical failure in our Judiciary which eventually lead above issue to present status, which I would claim as miscarriage of justice.

Though for the complaints 3, 4, 5 and 6, appeal may considered as more appropriate, I chose not to do so for following reasons.

- a. I do not have enough money to continue this civil proceeding.
- b. I found extremely difficult to obtain necessary legal advice. (Please find letter to Upper Canada Law Society.)
- c. Ontario Judiciary has necessarily or unnecessarily created a question that when there is evidence to suspect a commission of crime in a bank or by a bank; whether it is responsibility of criminal section or civil section to investigate and bring justice to the victims. I believe finding an answer for this question beyond my resources and responsibility. I am calling for a public enquiry to find answer to this question.

Details of my Complaint as Follows:

1. Communication between TD Canada Trust lawyer and Superior Court Master in absence other party, unprofessional treatment by superior court registers. (? spread of illegally obtained psychiatric diagnosis regarding plaintiff between law professionals.)

Complaint against: Master Jane Egan – Ontario Superior Court – Toronto Court House.  
Register Salma Bapoo – Ontario Superior Court – Toronto Court House.  
393 University Ave.

On the day on first telephone case conference (February 20<sup>th</sup>, 2008) around 9.00 AM, I contacted TD Canada Trust lawyer to confirm that I was available in my phone line. Then I got informed by TD Canada Trust Lawyer Ms. Susan Rai that she just talked to Master Egan and as she informed she will call me for a telephone conference in few minutes. As I did not take the incident seriously I did not inquire about the detail of such communication.

Later around April 2008 when I went to the masters' office to know about the procedure of entering a court order Master Egan's register Salma Bapoo may have treated me in an unprofessional manner. She may have requested another register to stand behind her and watch me all the time while I was talking to register Salma Bapoo.

I also experienced similar unprofessional treatment by other registers when I went to the 10<sup>th</sup> floor of Toronto Court Office to file documents in this case.

Around July 2008 I came to know I was diagnosed with “Delusional Disorder of Persecutory Type” by Canadian Psychiatrist and I made this diagnosis public when I received it. Any Psychiatric diagnosis received by legal professional before July 2008 may obtained illegally and I feel even above diagnosis is a mistake and any treatment based on such diagnosis came as unnecessary harassment for me.

2. Unnecessary delay of Court Order and Improper way of amending it.

Complaint against: Master Birnbaum – Ontario Superior Court – Toronto Court House.  
393 University Ave.

At the end of the Motion on November 12<sup>th</sup>, 2008, I was informed by Master Birnbaum that she will issue her court order in writing. As I failed to receive any court order after one week I went to the Masters’ office of Toronto court office and inquired register Gbemi Kester (Master Birnbaum’s register) whether the court order had been sent to me. She informed the court order is not ready yet and failed to give any reason for it or inform me when it will be released. Again as I failed to receive after another two weeks I went back to Master’s office and inquired the reason for the delay. Then register Gbemi Kester informed me she do not know the exact reason for the delay and informed me master can take up to 3 months to issue the court order. Though I contacted few more time I received similar answers.

Finally I received the court order around January 20<sup>th</sup>, 2009, that is 2 months and one week after the motion date. When going through the court order I found in page 2 of the order word plaintiff was mistakenly used in two places where the word defendant supposed to come. When I brought it to the attention of the masters’ office I received a amended court order around February 17<sup>th</sup>, 2009 (3 months and 5 days after motion date) and found that it is signed using the date January 19, 2009 or court officials just inserted the previously signed 3<sup>rd</sup> page of court order after amended page 1 and 2 (Tap 7, Page 143 – 150).

**I also found that defendant lawyers claim of hours for time for November 12<sup>th</sup>, 2008 motion increased by 6.2 hours after completion of motion. If the reason for this is not negligent, then the costing details may be prepared fraudulently or defendant lawyer may have spend 6.2 hours discussing the issue with somebody after completion of the motion. (Tab 9 Pages 171 & 176)**

3. Contradicting Court Orders. (Tab 7 – Pages 149 – 150)

Complaint against: Master Birnbaum – Ontario Superior Court – Toronto Court House.  
393 University Ave.

At the motion on November 12<sup>th</sup>, 2008, I requested the court to order that there is enough evidence to suspect that there was a commission of crime in accounts managed under the name of Plaintiff (Gana Kiritharan or Kiritharan Kanagalingam) in Defendant bank (TD Canada Trust).

When responding to this request Master Birnbaum in her order (paragraph 5.1) said that “There is no relief this court can find or grant on this motion today. This is civil, not a criminal, court.”

But when analyzing the possible worst case scenario Master Birnbaum in same order (paragraph 5.4.vi and v) said that “There is an air of unreality in the suggestion that Mr. Kiritharan’s publishers, who are in India, would deposit money to a secret account in his name without any evidence that he directed them to send the money to TD Canada Trust or how they would know where he banks in Canada. No response is needed to these questions: ...”

2<sup>nd</sup> December 2019,

From: Gana Kiritharan,  
9L – 6 Rosebank Drive,  
Scarborough ON M1B 0A1; Tel: 416 820 8581  
To: Mark Saunders,  
Chief of Police, Toronto Police Services,  
40 College Street, Toronto ON M5G 2J3.

Gana Kiritharan send following letter explaining his suspicion but failed to receive any response from any body.

**Requesting Details of Criminal Conviction File (File Number and Court House Location of the File).**

**Tab 1: Your Letter;**

I, Gana Kiritharan, recently I applied for Toronto Police Services for Vulnerable Sector Screening Reference Check as an educational and training requirement. A letter received from Toronto Police Services dated September 24, 2019 informing me **“RCMP requires the submission of your fingerprints due to the match of your gender and date of birth to their pardoned sex offender registry.”** As I was not convicted any criminal offence in any part of the world this statement arise a question whether I was a victim of fake criminal conviction with a help of stolen Identity of mine.

**Tab 2: Stolen Identity;**

When I returned to Canada in May 2006 after a trip to India and Sri Lanka on June 2006 I went and changed my address at Ontario Service Kiosk at Scarborough Town Center for my Health Card and Ontario Driver's License. I received the health card on time but failed to receive the driver's license on time. I received addresses changed drivers license after 2<sup>nd</sup> attempt to change it and only in August or September 2006. I afraid a misplaced or stolen Driver's License may have been used create a fake criminal record against me.

**Tab 3: Financial Motive.**

Motive for such crime may be misappropriation of funds belong to me through illegally managed accounts in Canadian Banks. I made complaint against Canadian Bank; TD Canada Trust in 2007 for existence of such account to criminal and civil sections of Ontario judiciary, but failed to receive justice in that issue.

**My Request to Criminal Conviction file:**

At this juncture I would like to request you to provide me more information regarding the criminal conviction (File Number and Court House Location of the file) behind the your statement **“RCMP requires the submission of your fingerprints due to the match of your gender and date of birth to their pardoned sex offender registry.”** As I may be a victim of very complex criminal conspiracy, if appropriate I would like to request employees of Ontario Judiciary to make a copy of such file and hand it over to me in a proper manner. Also like to ask Ontario and Canada Judiciary to take proper legal action against people responsible for creation of such fake criminal conviction.

Yours truly,

G Kiritharan.

Cc:

Director General Canadian Criminal Real Time Ide Services, RCMP, NSP Bldg, 1200 Vanier Parkway Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R2	The Honorable Doug Downey Minister of the Attorney General of Ontario McMurty-Scott Building, 720 Bay Street, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, Ontario M7A 2S9	The Honorable David Lametti Minister of the Attorney General of Canada 284 Wellington Street Ottawa Ontario K1A 0H8
---	--	---

April 27, 2020

Mr. Gana Kiritharan  
9L – 6 Rosebank Drive  
Scarborough ON M1B 0A1

Gana Kiritharan made this complaint to Office of the Independent Police Review Director (Ontario) on 10 Feb. 2020 and received response as follow:

Dear Mr. Kiritharan:

**Re: Public Complaint: Toronto Police Service**  
**OIPRD Complaint Number: E-202002100953486349**

The Office of the Independent Police Review Director (OIPRD) has reviewed your complaint and determined it to be a service complaint involving the Toronto Police Service.

In your complaint you indicate that you believe the Toronto Police Service may have made a “fake criminal conviction” against you as part of a “Vigorously Harassing program of Canadian Government of people with Ideological differences.” You indicate that an incident may have happened around June 2006 in which you state that your identity was stolen. In September 2019, you obtained a vulnerable sector reference check through the Toronto Police Service as an “educational and training requirement.” You received a letter on September 24, 2019 from Toronto Police Service advising you that the RCMP requires submission of your fingerprints due to the match of your gender and date of birth to their pardoned sex offender registry. You state that as you were not convicted with any criminal offence and therefore this made you question whether you were a victim of a fake criminal conviction.

On December 2, 2019, you sent a letter to Chief Saunders informing him of this suspicion and you also requested more details about the criminal conviction file that led the Toronto Police Service to advise you that the RCMP required your fingerprints. You state that you did not receive a response to your letter. Additionally, you also believe that the Toronto Police Service has participated in other incidents against you.

Section 60(4) of the *Police Services Act* permits the Independent Police Review Director not to deal with a complaint if, in his opinion, having regard to all of the circumstances, dealing with the complaint is not in the public interest.

We appreciate that you filed a complaint as a result of information that you received through the Vulnerable Sector Screening Check and that you are requesting access to information pertaining to this matter. However, the more appropriate forum for you to



request the information you seek is through the Toronto Police Service's Access and Privacy Section. You may wish to contact the Access and Privacy Section directly to find out whether the information you would like access to can be provided to you. Further, with regard to your complaint and the issues you raised, your complaint that the Toronto Police Service has participated in incidents against you and that they created a fake criminal conviction against you as part of a "Vigorously Harassing program of Canadian Government of people with Ideological differences" is speculative in nature and there is no substantive basis within your complaint to support this claim. There is also no information within your complaint that suggests that the Toronto Police Service engaged in police misconduct through their response stemming from your Vulnerable Sector Screening Check. It appears that the Toronto Police Service advised you of the RCMP's request that you submit your fingerprints as a result of the information obtained through the records check. For these reasons, the Director has determined that it would not be in the public interest to investigate your complaint.

Please be advised that the *Police Services Act*, our governing legislation, does not provide for an appeal from the classification and screening of complaints conducted by the OIPRD. Accordingly, our file is now closed. The only means of appeal for this decision is a judicial review in the Superior Court of Justice. Information about the judicial review process is attached for your information.

A copy of your complaint as well as our decision not to proceed has been forwarded to the Chief of the Toronto Police Service for his record.

To find out more about OIPRD and the *Police Services Act*, please visit our website at [www.oiprd.on.ca](http://www.oiprd.on.ca).

Sincerely,  
On behalf of the Independent Police Review Director

Risha  
Case Management Department  
Local: 416-246-7071 Ext. 1106  
Toll-free: 1-877-411-4773 Ext. 1106

# Canadian Human Rights Commission

---

From: COMPLAINT/PLAINTE (complaint.plainte@chrc-ccdp.gc.ca)

To: kirithara@yahoo.com

Date: Friday, March 13, 2020, 03:28 p.m. EDT

---

## PROTECTED

Inquiry# I2000450

Complainant Name: Gana Kiritharan

Gana Kiritharan also made complaint to Canadian Human Rights Commission on 10 Feb. 2020 and received response as follow:

Dear Gana Kiritharan:

This is further to your correspondence of February 10, to the Canadian Human Rights Commission (the Commission) concerning various criminal activities.

The Commission was established under the *Canadian Human Rights Act* (the Act) to address allegations of discrimination by federally regulated employers and service providers.

You can find an explanation of the Commission's mandate on our website in "[Your Guide to Understanding the Canadian Human Rights Act.](#)"

In order for the Commission to accept a complaint there must be a direct link between the alleged discriminatory act and one or more of the grounds of discrimination in the Act. The grounds of discrimination are as follows:

- o race, national or ethnic origin, colour, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, marital status, family status, disability, genetic characteristics and conviction for which a pardon has been granted.

Following a careful analysis of your recent correspondence, it does not appear that your situation is something that can be dealt with under the Act. It is important to note that, although you may feel that you have been treated unfairly, unless that treatment is related to a ground of discrimination under the Act, it would not show discrimination under our Act.

We have carefully reviewed your correspondence, and it does not appear that the issues you raise are linked to a ground in the Act. As a result, the Commission is not the appropriate organization to deal with this matter.

Furthermore, please keep in mind that complaints must be filed within 12 months of the last instance of discrimination. We thought it was important to remind you of this as your correspondence mentions events dating back to the year 1994.

If, after reviewing the above information, you have any questions or wish to discuss this further, please call us toll-free at the number below.

Yours sincerely,

## Complaints Services

Canadian Human Rights Commission

TEL: 1-888-214-1090

Privacy Disclaimer